Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumi		Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	
	mary	09/646,852	L	LUNDBERG ET AL.	
Exammer-imitated interview Summ		Examiner	A	art Unit	
		Susan T. Tran	1	615	
All Participants:		Status of Application	n:		
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .		(3) <u>John Genova</u> .			
(2) <u>Andrew Fessak</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2006</u>		Time:			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: of record					
Claims discussed: of record					
Prior art documents discussed: of record					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	rwas d	ISCUSSED:	
Part III.	•				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The	e examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written	summary of the erview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representat	ive Signa	ature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) incorporate the limitations of claims 9 and 10 into all independent claims; and 2) delete the limitation "arginine and talc" in all the claims. No agreement was reached. Upon reconsideration, the claims have been rejected under newly cited references (see detailed office action).